## Overview and Scrutiny Scoping Paper

Subject of the Review	Public Engagement and Consultation
Chairman	Avril Davies
Review members, including co-optees	Bruce Allen, Doug Anson, Hedley Cadd, Peter Cartwright, Brenda Jennings, Roger Reed, Paul Rogerson and Ruth Vigor-Hedderly, Mary Baldwin, Mike Appleyard
Officer contact	Michael Chard (x7728)
Purpose of the Review (Reasons for undertaking the review, including where the ideas have come from)  Anticipated outcome(s)	At a time when local authorities are feeling a squeeze on their budgets it is important that the right people are consulted at the right time. Residents are vital to shaping the services the County Council provides and at a time when difficult decisions will have to be made to change the way services are delivered it is vital that residents can influence these policy decisions. The review will seek to examine how the County Council, across all services, goes about consulting with residents and other stakeholders (such as Councillors), identify good practice, benchmark against other authorities and recommend areas of improvement to Cabinet.
What is the potential impact of the review on  Residents Equality issues, e.g. access to services, vulnerable groups Health inequalities Adding value to the organization Partners	<ul> <li>To provide a consistent approach to public engagement and consultation across the County Council</li> <li>To improve opportunities for residents to influence decision making at the County Council</li> <li>To improve the transparency of decision making across the Council</li> <li>To improve the reporting of consultation results and how residents input has influenced the final decision</li> <li>To improve opportunities for residents to influence decisions of the Council</li> <li>To ensure that any decisions made by the Council are informed by residents and the needs they possess</li> <li>To make the results of all consultations available to residents and partners</li> <li>To highlight how resident and partner consultation responses alter decisions made by the Council</li> </ul>
Link to Council Corporate Plan priority	Tailor Services to Meet Local Need
Consideration of Local Area Agreement targets	NI004- % of people who feel they can influence a decision in their locality
Link to Sustainable Community Strategies outcomes	None

## Overview and Scrutiny Scoping Paper

Key Issues for the review to address	How does BCC currently consult?
They issues for the review to address	<ul> <li>Is there a consistent approach to consultation across</li> </ul>
	each service within BCC?
	How do the results of consultations influence
	decision making at BCC?
	How does BCC report the results of consultations
	and the changes that have been made as a
	consequence of consultations?
	How can the current BCC approach be improved?  What approach the public have when BCC.
	<ul> <li>What expectations do the public have when BCC engages/consults with them?</li> </ul>
	Are all consultations appropriate? If there are no
	options to consult upon, then engaging with the
	public about service changes should be considered
	The involvement of local members in consultation
	and decision making
Makla adala mu	
Methodology	Desk based research- including analysis of consultations over the provious 12 months.
	<ul> <li>consultations over the previous 12 months</li> <li>Benchmarking with other local authorities, public</li> </ul>
	sector bodies and the private sector, e.g.
	Oxfordshire rural bus route consultation
	Evidence gathering meetings
Press & Publicity	Press release advertising the start of the review
	Press release highlighting the outcomes and
	recommendations from the review
Key background papers	Corporate Consultation Guidelines- BCC
nto, sasigisana papere	Corporate Cornocitation Calactimes BCC
Use of demographics/ needs data	
TANKS OF THE STATE	
Written evidence to be provided by:	TBC
Oral evidence to be provided by:	Kim Parfitt- Corporate Consultation Officer- BCC
	·
Potential partners	None
Resources required	Policy Officer
	Democratic Services Officer Support
Timetable	September- December 2010
	Evidence gathering meetings to be held on 17 <sup>th</sup> and 24 <sup>th</sup> September
	September
Reporting mechanism	Overview and Scrutiny Commissioning Committee-
	December 2010 (provisional)
	Cabinet- January 2011 (provisional)